

INFORMATION REPORT

DATE DISTR. / 7 Dec 1952

NO. OF PAGES 1

SUPPLEMENT TO
REPORT NO.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES, WITHIN THE MEANING OF TITLE 18, SECTIONS 793 AND 794, OF THE U.S. CODE, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS TO OR RECEIPT BY AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. THE REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS PROHIBITED.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

50X1

1. My kolkhoz, imeni Chapaev in the Kirovograd oblast, was supposed to form three categories of regular funds out of the grain and grass harvest following obligatory deliveries to the state and payments to the MTS (Machine Tractor Station)
 - (a) Prodovol'stvenni Fond /food (grain) fund/
 - (b) Semenny Fond /seed fund/
 - (c) Furazhni Fond /fodder fund/
2. The food grain fund was to be based on 2% of the total harvest before the deliveries to the state were effected. But, this could not actually be created until after the obligatory deliveries. The primary purpose of the food grain fund was for direct support of the kreches, for invalids, tractor workers, and for workers in the field (i.e. for meals away from home) but not for home consumption.
3. The seed and fodder funds were based on requirement norms. In addition, three complementary insurance funds were to be formed after the obligatory deliveries and MTS payments.
 - (1) prodovol'stvenni strakhovoy fond /food insurance fund/
 - (2) strakhovoy semenny fond /seed insurance fund/
 - (3) strakhovoy furazhnoy fond /fodder insurance fund/
4. The food insurance fund was also supposed to be based on a 2% amount of the total harvest, but could not be formed, of course, until after the regular food fund was established. The seed insurance fund was to be based on approximately 15% of the seed fund requirements, and the fodder insurance fund ranged between 10 and 15% of the yearly livestock feeding norms.

- end -

CLASSIFICATION SECRET/SECURITY INFORMATION

[illegible]